




**0. Response rate** 11 out of 12 answered -- excellent response rate

**1. How long are you already working on your Ph.D. ?**



Most were beginners  
some correlation between time and appreciation;  
... but less obvious than 1st occurrence in Zurich

**2. What's your overall appreciation of the seminar contents ? 3. What's your overall appreciation of the teacher ?**




Seminar is well received

Teaching style highly appreciated

Nobody selected Excellent; however Excellent was defined as "in the top 5 of things that will influence the way I conduct research"

**4. Which features did you appreciate ?**




All features were appreciated by some participants; many appreciated almost all features

Top 3: comparison of research methods; publication process; review process


Bottom 3: research questions; units of analysis (tied); art metaphor; fish model (tied)

**5. Which features did you appreciate the most ?**

First choice = 3 points; 2nd choice = 2 points; rd choice = 1 points




Survey after Research Methods on Computer Science Seminar



This is *very* different from the scores in Zurich; where they did a real review afterwards. Apparently this heavily influences the perspective

**6. Which topics where overkill ?**



Very few features were considered overkill

You can't please all of the people all of the time: some topics mentioned here were also in the "most appreciated" list